To Whom It May Concern:

Earlier this year, you were interviewed as part of the Mine Safety & Health Administration’s (MSHA) accident investigation of the Upper Big Branch Mine-South explosion that occurred on April 5, 2010. Either during that interview, or at a later time by written request, you requested an opportunity to review your transcript prior to its public release. This letter details the procedures for that transcript review.

You (and, if present, your personal or legal representative) will be provided with an opportunity to read a copy of your transcript in a location designated by MSHA’s accident investigation team. You will be provided a reasonable period of time for your review. During your review, you may bring stationery on which you may take notes of the contents of your transcript.

You may NOT take the transcript with you. This means that you may not leave the review room with the transcript at any time. You may NOT make any copies of the transcript through any means of reproduction. This means, for example, that you may not use a copier to make a copy of the transcript, that you may not take photographs or video of the transcript, that you may not create an audio recording of the transcript, and that you may not attempt to reproduce the transcript by any other means. Consistent with this procedure, you may not bring into the review room cameras, video-cameras, tape-recorders, cell phones, or any other technological device that has reproductive capability. You may leave such devices in your vehicle or with MSHA’s accident investigation team; the team will return your devices to you following the completion of your review.

Finally, if you identify errors in the transcript, you may write a follow-up letter to MSHA’s accident investigation team identifying and describing those errors. Please identify any errors by page and line number. The transcript will not be modified to reflect these alleged errors; however, your letter will be released in conjunction with your transcript.

Please sign below to certify that you understand the above procedures for your transcript review. Once you have signed, a copy of your signed letter will be provided to you.

(b) (7)(C)
Witness
(b) (7)(C)
Witness’ Representative
(b) (7)(C)
MSHA Representative

8-23-10
Date
8-23-10
Date
8/25/10
Date
August 23, 2010

Mr. Norman Page  
Lead Investigator  
UBB Disaster  
District 6  
Pikeville, KY  

Dear Mr. Page:

While reviewing my transcript, I have found the following discrepancies:

Page 7   Line 14 - “David” should be “Davitt”  
Page 14  Line 20 - 04 MMU should be 040 MMU  
Page 16  Line 3 - Could “ID” be “Imminent Danger run”?  
Page 17  Line 7 - should have read “what was on their plan.”  
Page 21  Line 7 - “Fort” should be “Fork”  
        Line 8 - “Fort” should be “Fork”  
Page 22  Line 18 - “Fort” should be “Fork”  
Page 23  Line 23 - “South” should be “Selfe”  
Page 25  Line 18 - “statement” does not make sense - probably was “state”  
Page 28  Line 1 - “CH” should be “CH4”  
Page 30  Line 18 - “When you were you there on 22 tailgate ....” probably was “When you were there on 22 tailgate ....”  
Page 37  Line 1 - “Vernon Cornet” should be “Berman Cornett”  
        Line 4 - “Vernon Cornet” should be “Berman Cornett”  
        Line 12 - “Vernon” should be “Berman”  
        Line 15 - “Vernon” should be “Berman”

Reba Ann Crawford (b) (7)(C)
Page 38 Line 23 – “reporting” should be “recording”
Page 46 Line 18 – “now” should be “know”
Page 47 Line 18 – “site” should be “cite”
Page 48 Line 20 – “David” unknown unless map on table was near David Steffey
Page 48 Line 22 – “Ridge” should be “Switch”
Page 52 Line 23 – “Stider” should be “Snyder”

(b) (7)(C)
Reba Ann Crawford