MAR 31 2015

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 4/1/15 9:19 AM **Received:** March 31, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: April 01, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8i15-fnru Comments Due: March 31, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: MSHA-2014-0009

Criteria and Procedures for Assessment of Civil Penalties, 30 CFR Part 100

Comment On: MSHA-2014-0009-0090

Criteria and Procedures for Assessment of Civil Penalties, Proposed rule; extension of comment

period; close of record.

Document: MSHA-2014-0009-0111

Comment from Jasper Stem, Jr., North Carolina Aggregates Association

Submitter Information

Name: Jasper Stem, Jr.

Organization: North Carolina Aggregates Association

General Comment

March 31, 2015

U.S. Mine Safety and Health Administration Office of Standards & Regulations 1100 Wilson Boulevard, Room 2350 Arlington, VA 22209 30 CFR Part 100 RIN: 1219-AB72

Reference: MSHA-2014-0009-0090 (Proposed Civil Penalties Rule)

To Whom It May Concern:

The North Carolina Aggregates Association is writing to raise major concerns over the Mine Safety and Health Administrations proposed Civil Penalties rule, 30 CFR Part 100, RIN: 1219-AB72.

AB72-COMM-68

North Carolina. Our members, like many others in the industry, produce aggregates that are utilized in critical infrastructure projects, such as - highways, bridges, mass transit, and water and wastewater treatment facilities.

The North Carolina Aggregates Associations members have over 120 locations that provide aggregates for public and private construction projects throughout our state. Our member companies and their employees are dedicated to ensuring that every miner is operating in a safe environment and in compliance with MSHA standards and procedures.

The proposed rule doesnt meet its stated goals. MSHA has stated that the proposed rule will increase consistency and reduce potential areas for dispute, but the proposal contains several key points of confusion that will lead to more contestments.

The proposed rule will likely result in dramatic increases in penalty assessments. Analyses comparing the penalty assessment for the same or similar citations have consistently demonstrated that penalty assessments will be between 50 and 80 % higher under the proposed rule.

The proposed rule seeks to change the scope of Part 100, such that its intention is to apply the change to both the proposal of penalties by MSHA and the assessment of penalties by the Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission. Such a provision is beyond the scope of MSHAs authority.

The proposed rule intents to change Negligence, which may have an adverse effect on the inspectors designation of unwarrantable failure. Currently, an unwarrantable failure designation is accompanied by a negligence finding of either high or reckless disregard. The proposed rule would eliminate the high negligence designation, which would likely lead to an increase in reckless disregard findings in order to support an inspectors declaration of unwarrantable failure. An increase in reckless disregard will increase penalties, increase the number of violations potentially considered for flagrant status, and could have civil liability consequences.

The proposed rule does not include any provision for alternative dispute resolution such as merit-based conferencing or the consideration of mitigating circumstances.

The proposed rule does not address special assessments, which can be applied without explanation, and result in significantly increased penalties.

In closing, we urge MSHA to withdraw this proposed rule, and work with our industry and other stakeholders to craft a rule that is clear and does not impede the operators ability to manage for safety and compliance, impose an undue economic burden on our industry, and raise the cost of aggregate products that are needed in rebuilding our nations infrastructure.

Sincerely,

Jasper G. Stem, Jr., P.E. Executive Director

NC Aggregates Association

3700 National Drive Suite 210 Raleigh, NC 27612 ncaa@ncaggregates.org (919) 782-7055