General Comment

Past EPA Administration failed to account for Toxic Emissions other sources example. Mt Ruapehu Volcano; 25 November 2017.recorded high levels of carbon dioxide, sulfur dioxide and hydrogen sulfide emissions from the crater lake (Te Wai -Moe). "The CO2 emission rate on 23 November was 2290 tonnes/day, one of the largest values recorded in recent years. Therefore; New Zealand has to get 458,000 cars from the roads tomorrow to offset the crater lake emissions. That is about same as The Nonsense of Climate change ideas. Maybe we can tax a volcano for toxic Emissions?? ;

What about Wildfire greenhouse gas GHG ) ? this can harm local communities and surrounding areas lakes, rivers, and ocean, and contribute to regional and global air pollution problems of smog, particulate matter, and toxics (such as benzene, a carcinogen). Wildfire gas contributes to climate change, because the primary constituent is methane, an especially powerful greenhouse gas (GHG), with climate impacts of carbon dioxide (CO2), . U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issued rules in 2012 and early 2016 to control emissions of methane and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) to address safety, waste, production accountability, and/or air quality concerns. but failed in this rule to include Wildfires ..why ?? California Wild Fires.... MUST be in debate on greenhouse gas GHG, ozone, particle matters, MATT, clean air regulations, cross state emissions, Regional Haze Rule, carbon tax, and climate change, more than autos, more than industrial, more than oil and gas business. Wildfires are the biggest threat to ozone and humans and has most deaths Wildfire gaseous pollutants are precursors for ozone (O3) production.
Hamburg-based Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, one of the world's leading climate research centers. "Some of the computer "scenarios" used by the UN IPCC to predict the future impacts of global warming. "Some emissions scenarios are perhaps already demonstrably WRONG," It is possible that all of them are wrong. Environmentalists have attacked adaptation and preparedness in the belief that taking steps to prepare for global warming - for instance, by building higher seawalls and levees or identifying new water supplies for regions likely to be affected by drought - would undermine their arguments for carbon reductions."

Group of German scientists of "several scientific disciplines" formed a new group declare themselves climate change skeptics. There is no proven influence on climate by manmade emission of CO2; 2) Scenarios on future climate change derived from computer models are speculative and contradicted by climate history; 3) There has been climate change in all times of Earth history with alternating cold and warm phases; 4) The trace gas CO2 does not pollute the atmosphere, CO2 is an essential resource for plant growth and therefore a precondition for life on Earth; 5) We are committing ourselves to an effective preservation of our environment and support arrangements to prevent unnecessary stress on eco systems; and 6) We strongly warn against taking action using imminent climate catastrophe as a vehicle which will not be beneficial for our environment and will cause economic damage."

2017 A new study suggests temperatures across Antarctica have been falling for the last 1,600 years. Antarctica cooling since Roman Times, climate models wrong (again). Our new reconstructions confirm a significant cooling trend from 0 to 1900CE across all Antarctic regions where records extend back into the 1st millennium, the "absence of significant continent-scale warming of Antarctica over the last 100 years is in clear contrast with the significant industrial-era warming trends that are evident in reconstructions for all other continents (except Africa) and the tropical oceans." This lack of warming "is not in agreement with climate model simulations overwhelming evidence of natural causes such as changes in the output of the sun, wildfires, volcano are the cause not man. Natural recurring changes have been going on for 1000 years. Most authors and sources have summarized the historical CO2 determinations by chemical methods incorrectly and promulgated the unjustifiable view that historical methods of analysis were unreliable and produced poor quality results."

UK Professor Emeritus of Biogeography Philip Stott of the University of London ridiculed the notion of a scientific "consensus" on catastrophic man-made global warming. Swedish Geologist Dr. Wibjorn Karlen, "I have used the NASA temperature data for a study of several major areas. As far as I can see the IPCC "Global Temperature" is wrong. Temperature is fluctuating but it is still most places cooler than in the 1930s and 1940s."

Newspapers should think about the damage they are doing to many persons, particularly young kids, by spreading the exaggerated views of a human impact on climate,"