

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 11/8/17 9:57 AM Received: November 02, 2017 Status: Posted Posted: November 08, 2017 Tracking No. 1k1-8zkd-dxig Comments Due: November 13, 2017 Submission Type: API
--

Docket: MSHA-2014-0030

Examinations of Working Places in Metal and Nonmetal Mines. 30 CFR Parts 56 and 57

Comment On: MSHA-2014-0030-0179

Examinations of Working Places in Metal and Nonmetal Mines - Proposed rule, limited reopening of the rulemaking record; notice of public hearings; close of comment period.

Document: MSHA-2014-0030-0229

Comment from Garry Clark, International Brotherhood of Boilermakers, et. al.

Submitter Information

Name: Garry Clark

Address:

P.O. Box 475

Greencastle, IN, 46135

Email: lgaclark1@gmail.com

Phone: 765-301-9382

Organization: International Brotherhood of Boilermakers, et. al.

Redacted Comment

MSHA "targets" miners while likely using MSHA v. Nelson Quarries decision as a basis. By involving miners as "competent persons", MSHA has provided means for companies to include miners with an obvious agreement that MSHA will cite miners (Trump) voters with companies receiving relief. With focus on miners while using SLAM / Work Place Examination sham, MSHA will not need to deal with cheesy lawyers representing cheesy operators having received cheesy citations from cheesy mine inspectors. MSHA will have the ability to "target" everyday people (Trump voters) who cannot afford to pay cheesy lawyers to represent them against cheesy citations endorsed by cheesy mine inspectors who failed being trained by their administration to respect Constitutional protections. The lawsuit evolving from this failing will involve 42 USC 1983 or 42 USC 1985 with a huge award likely while naming individuals within United States Department of Labor Mine Safety and Health Administration in their official capacities. Who knows, those within the commission may even be named for allowing this abuse while knowing MSHA fails training its personnel to respect Constitutional protections and teaching landmark U.S. State Supreme Court Rulings. While some might disagree, my employer and MSHA has already worked in violation of 4th Amendment Rights

AB87-COMM-163 11/8/2017

by threatening termination of employment needed to secure protected property if me and my co-workers do not participate in the government SLAM / Work Place Exam sham. A Supervisory Special Investigator has already asked at least one other if I own guns also protected by the Constitution. Absolutely no respect for the Constitution of the United States of America. I seriously doubt this regulation will last much beyond the first lawsuit.